

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/805,320	KANAZAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Meless N. Zewdu	2617	

All Participants:

(1) Meless N. Zewdu.

(2) Ronald J. Ward (Reg. No. 54,870).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4/27/06

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 1, 4, 6- 8 and 12-13

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature) 5-12-06

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner pointed out to applicant's representative that excessive use of the words "device" and "devices" has made the claims vague and that the second mobile device is not functionally connected to the body of claims 6, 7, 12 and 13. Agreement was reached to fix the problems via a supplementary amendment.